

Technical Due Diligence Checklist

50+ questions to evaluate startup technology before you invest.
Based on real assessments across dozens of startups, from seed to Series C.

- ✓ Five-Minute Smell Test to spot red flags fast
- ✓ Architecture, code quality, and security checklists
- ✓ Red flags that kill deals vs. yellow flags to discuss
- ✓ Final assessment framework for investment decisions

Cisco Caceres

45+ years building systems. Government agencies to startups.

Technical due diligence for investors and acquirers.

roamingpigs.com | contact@roamingpigs.com

Start Here: The Five-Minute Smell Test

Quick indicators before diving deep. Any "no" warrants deeper investigation. These five questions reveal more about technical health than hours of code review.

- Can CTO explain architecture in plain English to non-technical stakeholders?
- Is there code older than 6 months? (Complete rewrites suggest thrashing)
- Do they deploy at least weekly? (Monthly = cautious or terrified of codebase)
- Can they clearly describe their last outage and what changed after?
- Do they know their deployment rollback time?

Architecture Assessment

System Design

- Architecture matches company stage (monolith for early, services only if needed)
- Can explain why they chose their architecture (not just "Netflix does it")
- Service boundaries are clean and logical (if microservices)
- No premature optimization for scale they haven't reached
- Clear data flow documentation exists

Database & Data

- Boring, proven database choices (Postgres usually wins)
- Each specialized database solves a real problem (not resume-driven)
- Data backup and recovery process documented and tested
- No custom database or data layer (unless they're a database company)
- Schema migrations are version-controlled and reversible

Dependencies

- Reasonable number of third-party dependencies
- Critical dependencies are from established vendors
- Fallback plan exists if key vendor disappears or raises prices 10x
- No obscure libraries for core business logic
- Dependencies are regularly updated (check last update date)

Code Quality

Testing

- Critical paths have test coverage
- Integration tests exist and run
- CI pipeline runs tests on every commit
- Tests actually fail when code breaks

Documentation

- README explains how to run locally
- Architecture decisions are recorded
- API documentation exists
- Documentation is current (not 2 years stale)

Code Health

- Git history shows healthy contribution patterns
- No single files that change every commit (usually broken)
- Recent refactoring has clear rationale
- Team can identify their top 3 technical debt areas

Infrastructure & Operations

Disaster Readiness

- Clear answer for: "What if primary database goes down?"
- Documented recovery time for complete data loss
- Deployment rollback tested and timed
- Credential rotation process exists
- Incident response runbook documented

Cloud & Costs

- Cloud spend is proportional to usage/revenue
- Cost per user/transaction is known
- No obvious waste (idle resources, over-provisioning)
- Growth in cloud costs won't outpace revenue

Team & Process

- Bus factor > 1 for critical systems
- Knowledge is documented, not just in heads
- New engineer productive within 2-4 weeks
- Team acknowledges technical debt (denial is a red flag)
- Clear process for security vulnerability response

Security Basics

- Secrets in environment variables, not in code repo
- Authentication and authorization logic exists
- No known-vulnerable versions of critical dependencies
- Someone has done at least one security review
- Credential rotation is possible if compromised
- Access controls exist (not everyone has admin)

! Red Flags (Deal Killers)

- Fundamental scaling limitations that require complete rewrite
- Security disasters: plaintext passwords, public S3 with customer data
- Key person dependency with no mitigation plan
- Misrepresentation: claims that don't match reality
- Dangerous vendor lock-in with unfavorable terms

? Yellow Flags (Need Discussion)

- Technical debt exists but team knows where it is
- Missing tests (fixable with time)
- Junior team (requires mentorship expectations)
- Unusual technology choices (may be innovative or problematic)

Final Assessment

- Can this technology support the business plan?
- What could go wrong technically, and how likely?
- Is this team capable of building what they're proposing?
- What should be addressed in the first 90 days post-investment?

Need a Professional Technical Assessment?

This checklist covers the basics. For investment decisions, M&A transactions, or partnership evaluations, a comprehensive assessment identifies risks that checklists miss.

[Schedule a Consultation](#)

[Read the full article](#)